

Report of:	Director of Environment and Commercial Services: Geoff Field Executive Member for Finance and Governance: Nicky Walker
-------------------	---

Submitted to:	Executive Sub Committee for Property, 23 rd of January 2019
----------------------	--

Subject:	Members Small Scheme Allocation 2018 / 19
-----------------	---

Summary

Proposed decision(s)
That Executive Sub Committee for Property considers the schemes set out in this report and in respect of each scheme either: a. approves the scheme; b. rejects the scheme, or c. endorses the scheme for future consideration.

Report for:	Key decision:	Confidential:	Is the report urgent?¹
Decision	Yes it affects more than two wards	No	No

Contribution to delivery of the 2018-22 Strategic Plan		
Business Imperatives	Physical Regeneration	Social Regeneration
The schemes have been scored in respect of value of money, impact on revenue budgets and any potential savings	The schemes are scored against the Mayors Vision and how they contribute to the physical regeneration of the ward in question.	The schemes are scored against the Mayors Vision and how they contribute to the social regeneration of the ward in question

Ward(s) affected
Nunthorpe, Park End, Brambles, Ladgate, Longlands and Beechwood, Hemlington, Park, Marton East, Berwick Hills, North Ormesby, Central, Marton West, Kader, Trimdon, Newport, These are the schemes submitted by members for their respective wards.

What is the purpose of this report?

¹ Remove for non-Executive reports

2. That Executive Sub Committee for Property considers the schemes set out in this report and either approves, declines or defers funding in respect of the Members Small Scheme Allocation.

Why does this report require a Member decision?

3. The proposed schemes affect more than two wards are therefore considered a key decision requiring approval by the Executive Sub Committee for Property.

Report Background

4. Members have previously approved funding within the capital programme for the Members Small Scheme Allocation with an allocation of £56,000 in respect of 2018/19 which is inclusive of uncommitted funds from previous years.
5. Members were invited on the 5th of November 2018 to submit bids by no later than the 26th of November 2018.
6. A total of 16 compliant bids were received within the bidding timeframe totalling £167,000.
7. The schemes received are as follows:-
 - Cllr L Lewis, Play Equipment, Saltwells Play Area, £10,000, Score 65.
 - Cllr D McCabe, CCTV Camera, Playground Blue Bell Beck, £5,000, Score 65.
 - Cllr J Mohan, Play Equipment, Sandringham Road Play Park, £15,000, Score 65.
 - Cllr J Walker, Pedestrian Crossing, Southdean Drive, £15,000, Score 61.
 - Cllr A Hellaoui, Play Equipment, Ayresome Gardens / Park £9,400, Score 61.
 - Cllr J Goodchild, Pedestrian Crossing, The Derby, £15,000, Score 57.
 - Cllr L McGloin, Disabled Play Equipment, Avenue Play Area Nunthorpe, £10,000, Score 55.
 - Cllr T Higgins, Play Equipment, Landsdowne Road Park, £12,000, Score 55.
 - Cllr D Davison / T Mawston, Speed Activated Sign, Cypress Road, £5,000, Score 54.
 - Cllr E Dryden, Refurbish Walkway, Neptune Centre £12,000, Score 54.
 - Cllr L Young, Dropped Kerbs, Beaumont Road, £15,000, Score 48.
 - Cllr G Purvis, Off Road Parking, Rainsford Crescent, Birkhall Road, Barrington Crescent £5000, Score 41.
 - Cllr M Walters, Parking Bays, Norwich Road, £15,000, Score 41.
 - Cllr R Arundale, Fencing, Acklam Road, £8,600, Score 39.
 - Cllr J McTigue, Resurface Footpath, Beechwood & Easterside Club, £? Score 15.
 - Cllrs Hobson, Planters / Barriers, Opposite Captain Cook School, £15,000, Score 12.

8. Based on the outcome of the scoring panel and additional match funding from services the following schemes could be funded from the current 18/19 allocation of £56,000:-
- Cllr L Lewis, Play Equipment, Saltwells Play Area, Score 65, £5,000 from scheme and £5,000 from service.
 - Cllr D McCabe, CCTV Camera, Playground Blue Bell Beck, Score 65, £5,000 from scheme.
 - Cllr C Mohan, Play Equipment, Sandringham Road Play Park, Score 65, £5,000 from scheme and £5,000 from service.
 - Cllr J Walker, Pedestrian Crossing, Southdean Drive, Score 61, £10,000 from scheme and £10,000 from service.
 - Cllr A Hellaoui, Play Equipment, Ayresome Gardens / Park, Score 61, £5,000 from scheme and £4,600 from service.
 - Cllr J Goodchild, Pedestrian Crossing, The Derby, Score 57, £10,000 from scheme and £10,000 from service.
 - Cllr L McGloin, Play Equipment, Avenue Play Area Nunthorpe, Score 55, £5,000 from scheme and £5,000 from service.
 - Cllr T Higgins, Play Equipment, Landsdowne Road Park, Score 55, £5,000 from scheme and £5,000 from service.
 - Cllr D Davison / T Mawston, Speed Activated Sign, Cypress Road, Score 54, £5,000 from scheme
9. This would use £55,000 of the £56,000 available from the current scheme, leaving a balance of £1,000 to be slipped into the 19/20 allocation resulting in £61,000 being available in the next round of bidding.
10. That Members consider the remaining schemes and either: endorse the following applications for consideration of each scheme at a future meeting of the subcommittee, such future meeting to decide whether each scheme shall be to be potentially funded from the 19/20 allocation (£61,000); or rejects the application at this stage.
- Cllr E Dryden, Refurbish Walkway, Neptune Centre £12,000, Score 54.
 - Cllr L Young, Dropped Kerbs, Beaumont Road, £15,000, Score 48.
 - Cllr G Purvis, Off Road Parking, Rainsford Crescent, Birkhall Road, Barrington Crescent £5000, Score 41.
 - Cllr M Walters, Parking Bays, Norwich Road, £15,000, Score 41.
 - Cllr R Arundale, Fencing, Acklam Road, £8,600, Score 39.
 - Cllr J McTigue, Resurface Footpath, Beechwood & Easterside Club, £? Score 15.
 - Cllrs Hobson, Planters / Barriers, Opposite Captain Cook School, £15,000, Score 12.

What decision(s) are being asked for?

11. That Executive Sub Committee for Property considers the schemes set out in this report and in respect of each scheme either:
- a. approves the scheme;
 - b. rejects the scheme, or
 - c. endorses the scheme for future consideration.

Why is this being recommended?

12. The schemes recommended for funding in 18/19 have received the highest scores when evaluated by an officer panel. The schemes were evaluated against the following criteria:-

- Strength of links to the agreed Mayor's Vision and Council Priorities;
- Output Benefits and Social Value.
- Savings Payback Achieved and Value for Money.
- Project Risks.

Other potential decisions and why these have not been recommended

13. Members could defer all of the schemes until 19/20 when a further £60,000 would be available which would allow the possibility of all the schemes being funded in some form or other.

14. Members could fully fund only the highest scoring schemes, however this would result in only a limited number of schemes funded from the current £56,000 allocation.

Impact(s) of recommended decision

Legal

15. Successful schemes will be delivered by the appropriate services in accordance with any statutory requirements such as planning /building regulation approval.

Financial

16. All of the schemes have been evaluated against by an officer scoring panel in respect of value for money and future potential maintenance cost implications.

Policy Framework

17. All of the schemes have been evaluated by a scoring panel in respect of the Mayors 2025 Vision.

Equality and Diversity

18. An Impact Assessment (IA) has been completed (Appendix 1) and the proposed schemes were considered positive especially in respect of young people, the disabled and elderly.

Risk

19. The schemes contribute to reducing the Council risk in respect of health outcomes, crime, public safety and assists in mitigate the following strategic risk:-

- **02-020 Increased community tension arising from migration issues** causing ill feeling and potential unrest in local communities where different nationalities co-exist. Adverse national publicity and local flashpoints would

be very damaging to the reputation and image of a multi-cultural Middlesbrough.

- This is achieved through the enhanced / improved play provision provided by a number of the schemes under consideration.

Actions to be taken to implement the decision(s)

20. Officers will allocate the requisite funding to the appropriate service areas who will liaise with the appropriate councillors and keep them regularly updated on progress with respect to completing the schemes in 19/20.

Appendices

21. Appendix 1 Equality Impact assessment

Background papers

22. No background papers

Contact: Martin Shepherd, Head of Property and Commercial Services.
Email: martin_shepherd@middlesbrough.gov.uk